



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,788 10/17/2003		Shamci Monajembashi	SHA-001 9873		
3897 75	590 04/18/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHNECK & SCHNECK			WHALEY, PABLO S		
P.O. BOX 2-E SAN JOSE, CA	A 95109-0005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5	. ,,,,,,		1631		

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/687,788		MONAJEMBASHI,	, SHAMCI				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Pablo Whal	•	1631					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST IN THE	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will, will, by statute, of	TE OF THI 6(a). In no even Ill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	_·						
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	:						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				·					
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1						O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I: Claims 1-15 drawn to a sample, classified in class 702, subclass 019. If this

Group is elected, then the below summarized specie elections are also required.

Group II: Claims 16-29 drawn to a method and system for producing optically induced

mechanical forces on a target object, classified in class 702, subclass 19. If this Group is

elected, then the below summarized specie elections are also required.

The inventions are distinct and divergent, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The invention of Groups I and II are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not

disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the

different inventions of Groups I and II have different effects. Group I, unlike Group II, is drawn to

a sample comprising target objects and auxiliary objects. The sample of Group I could be used

in any number of other celluar-based biotechnology applications, such as magnetic twisting

cytometry for example. Group II, unlike Group I, is drawn to a method and system for producing

optically induced mechanical forces on a target object, comprising optical tweezers and a

microscope. Therefore, the burden of search is maintained as the examination process requires

a search of non-patent literature, U.S. patent publications, U.S. patents, as well as foreign

patent literature.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT

This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct and divergent species of

the claimed inventions. If Group I is elected, the applicant is further required to elect a single

species from species A, below, for purposes of examination. If Group II is elected, the applicant

is further required to elect a single species from species B, below, for purposes of examination.

Specie A:

Species of auxiliary objects are cited in claims 2, 3, and 10, which are generally separately

classified and published, and thus document undue search burden if searched together. Thus

applicants are required to elect ONE of the following species of auxiliary objects:

i. haemoglobin like protein body

ii. muscle haemoglobin

iii. colloidal polymer microcapsule

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from those

listed above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic

claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic to the above species.

Specie B:

Species of laser beams are cited in claims 27 and 28, drawn to distinct laser beam

configurations which are generally separately classified and published, and thus document

undue search burden if searched together. Thus applicants are required to select one type of

laser beam configurations from the following list:

i. coupled directly into beam passage

ii. coupled into beam passage via a system of lenses

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from those listed above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 21 is generic to the above species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from those listed above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 21 are generic to the above species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1631

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Pablo Whaley whose telephone number is (571)272-4425. The examiner

can normally be reached on 9:30am through 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER